arXiv:1601.00613v3 [math.OA] 26 Apr 2016

UNITARY DILATION OF FREELY INDEPENDENT CONTRACTIONS

SCOTT ATKINSON AND CHRISTOPHER RAMSEY

Abstract. Inspired by the Sz.-Nagy-Foias dilation theorem we show that n freely independent contractions dilate to n freely independent unitaries.

1. Introduction

The Sz.-Nagy-Foias dilation theorem is a celebrated result in classical dilation theory. It says that n doubly commuting contractions can be simultaneously dilated to n doubly commuting unitaries. This was the original multivariable dilation theory context proven by Brehmer and Sz.-Nagy [7, 25, 26] until Andô [1] proved that one can do this for just commuting and not doubly commuting contractions when $n = 2$. However, it was subsequently shown in [20] and [27] that there are three commuting contractions which do not dilate to three commuting unitaries. This obstruction spurred on dilation theories in other contexts [2, 8, 11, 14, 23] and many other generalizations. One recent usage of dilations of doubly commuting contractions is the dilation of Nica covariant representations of lattice-ordered semigroups [15, 17].

Doubly commuting is one of two ingredients in the notion of tensor independence (or classical independence). It is natural then to ask whether n tensor independent contractions can be dilated to n tensor independent unitaries. The answer is yes (Theorem 2.2) and begs the question whether this can be done with other notions of non-commutative probability, namely free probability.

Stemming from the notion of reduced free product [3, 28] Voiculescu developed the theory of free probability in the 1980's with the goal of solving the free group factor problem. While this still remains unsolved, free probability has become a very important field of mathematical research. For further reading see [16, 19].

This paper culminates in Theorem 3.2, that n freely independent contractions do indeed dilate to n freely independent unitaries. In a dilation theory context this has been done by Boca in [5] where he gives the most general unitary dilation of n contractions. The only free probability dilation result we know of is the unitary dilation of L-free sets of contractions of Popa and Vaes [22].

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank David Sherman and Stuart White for some very helpful discussions during White's visit to the University of Virginia sponsored by the Institute of Mathematical Science.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A20, 46L54, 46L09.

Key words and phrases: Dilation, non-commutative probability, tensor independence, free independence, free product.

2. Dilation theory of tensor independence

We first turn to the classical setting for inspiration. There are many great proofs of the Sz.-Nagy-Foias dilation theorem and the following constructive method is probably quite old but the authors have only seen it written down in [10, Example 2.5.13]. Recall that two operators $S, T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ doubly commute if $ST = TS$ and $S^*T = TS^*$. This is equivalent to requiring that $C^*(1, S)$ and $C^*(1, T)$ commute. Note that this does not require that S and T are normal.

Theorem 2.1 (Sz.-Nagy-Foias)**.** *Let $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ be doubly commuting contractions in $B(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ and doubly commuting unitaries $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in B(\mathcal{K})$ such that*

$$T_1(k_1) \cdots T_n(k_n) = P_{\mathcal{H}} U_1^{k_1} \cdots U_n^{k_n}|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \text{where} \quad T(k) = \begin{cases} T^k, & k \geq 0 \\ T^{*-k}, & k < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Furthermore, this dilation is unique up to unitary equivalence when $\mathcal{K}$ is minimal, meaning that it is the smallest reducing subspace of $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ containing $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. As mentioned above, this can also be found in [10, Example 2.5.13]. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 = \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and set

$$T_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & & & & \\ \ddots & 0 & & & & & & \\ & I & 0 & & & & & \\ & & D_{T_1^*} & T_1 & & & & \\ & & -T_1^* & D_{T_1} & 0 & & & \\ & & & & I & 0 & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T_j^{(1)} = I_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})} \otimes T_j, \ 2 \leq j \leq n.$$

Note that $T_1^{(1)}$ is the classic Schäffer form of the Sz.-Nagy dilation of T_1 and so is a unitary [24]. Since $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ doubly commute then so do $T_1^{(1)}, \ldots, T_n^{(1)}$, this is immediate after noticing that the defect operators $D_{T_1} = (I - T_1^* T_1)^{1/2}$ and $D_{T_1^*}$ are in $C^*(1, T_1)$.

In the second step, let $\mathcal{H}_2 = \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_1$, $T_2^{(2)}$ be the Schäffer-Sz.-Nagy dilation of $T_2^{(1)}$ and $T_j^{(2)} = I_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})} \otimes T_j^{(1)}$ for $j \neq 2$. Then $T_1^{(2)}$ and $T_2^{(2)}$ are unitaries and $T_1^{(2)}, \ldots, T_n^{(2)}$ are doubly commuting.

Continuing in this way one arrives at the nth step with doubly commuting unitaries $T_1^{(n)}, \ldots, T_n^{(n)} \in B(\mathcal{H}_n)$ that are easily seen to satisfy the joint power dilation condition.

Uniqueness when the dilation is minimal follows in the same way as in the one variable setting. It is proven by way of the uniqueness of the minimal Stinespring representation. □

This can be rephrased into a non-commutative probability context. Recall that a non-commutative C*-probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ is a C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ along with a state $\varphi \in S(\mathcal{A})$. We say that the operators $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are tensor (or classically) independent in $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ (or with respect to φ) if $C^*(1, T_1), \ldots, C^*(1, T_n)$ pairwise

commute and given $a_i \in C^*(1, T_i)$ we have the following factorization

$$\varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \varphi(a_i).$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be tensor independent contractions in the non-commutative probability space $(B(\mathcal{H}), \varphi)$. Then there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ and unitaries $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in B(\mathcal{K})$ that are tensor independent with respect to the state $\psi = \varphi \circ \mathrm{ad}_{P_\mathcal{H}}$ such that*

$$T_1(k_1) \cdots T_n(k_n) = P_\mathcal{H} U_1^{k_1} \cdots U_n^{k_n}|_\mathcal{H}, \quad \textit{where} \quad T(k) = \begin{cases} T^k, & k \geq 0 \\ T^{*-k}, & k < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Furthermore, this dilation is unique up to unitary equivalence when $\mathcal{K}$ is minimal, meaning that it is the smallest reducing subspace of $U_1, \ldots, U_n$.

Proof. All that needs to be shown is that the unitaries arising from Theorem 2.1 are tensor independent with respect to ψ. To this end first assume that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_n$ and $U_j = T_j^{(n)}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Let $a_i \in C^*(1, T_i^{(1)}), 1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that $P_\mathcal{H} a_i|_\mathcal{H} \in C^*(1, T_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $P_\mathcal{H}$ and a_i commute for $2 \leq i \leq n$ since $\mathcal{H}$ is a reducing subspace for each $C^*(1, T_i^{(1)}), 2 \leq i \leq n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(P_\mathcal{H} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i P_\mathcal{H}\right) &= \varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} (P_\mathcal{H} a_i P_\mathcal{H})\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \varphi(P_\mathcal{H} a_i P_\mathcal{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $T_1^{(1)}, \ldots, T_n^{(1)}$ are tensor independent with respect to $\varphi \circ \mathrm{ad}_{P_\mathcal{H}}$. Continuing in this fashion one gets that $T_1^{(n)}, \ldots, T_n^{(n)}$ are tensor independent with respect to $\varphi \circ \mathrm{ad}_{P_\mathcal{H}} \circ \mathrm{ad}_{P_{\mathcal{H}_1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}_{P_{\mathcal{H}_{n-1}}} = \varphi \circ \mathrm{ad}_{P_\mathcal{H}} = \psi$ where the last copy of $\mathcal{H}$ is in $\mathcal{H}_n$.

Uniqueness of this dilation is given by Theorem 2.1. □

3. Dilation theory of free independence

In this section we will prove a theorem very similar to Theorem 2.2 in another non-commutative probability context. Recall that the operators $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are freely independent (or $*$-free) in $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ if their C*-algebras $C^*(1, T_1), \ldots, C^*(1, T_n)$ are freely independent. That is, whenever $a_j \in C^*(1, T_{i_j})$ such that $\varphi(a_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq i_j \leq n$ and $i_j \neq i_{j-1}$ for $1 < j \leq m$ then

$$\varphi(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m) = 0.$$

Another proof of the Sz.-Nagy-Foias Theorem (Theorem 2.1 above) can be found in Paulsen [21, Theorem 12.10]. Here one gets ucp maps $\theta_i : C(\mathbb{T}) \to C^*(1, T_i)$ given by dilation theory. Now one can extend this to the ucp map $\theta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_n$ on $C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\max} \cdots \otimes_{\max} C(\mathbb{T}) \simeq C(\mathbb{T}^n)$. By taking the Stinespring representation of θ one gets the desired doubly commuting unitaries that jointly dilate $T_1, \ldots, T_n$.

This provides a roadmap for an attempt to prove the free analogue of Theorem 2.2. Namely, by using the free product of ucp maps and then taking the Stinespring representation of this map it will be shown that one gets unitaries that jointly dilate

$T_1, \ldots, T_n$. One then hopes that these unitaries will be $*$-free with respect to a natural state.

This approach, minus the free probability is exactly what Boca [5] uses to establish his unitary dilation result, in fact proving a more general statement about normal rational dilations.

Recall now, that the unital universal free product of unital C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n$ is the universal C*-algebra amalgamated over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by $\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n$ and is denoted $\check{*}_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i$. In particular, whenever one has a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and unital $*$-homomorphisms $\pi_i : \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{B}$ then there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $\pi : \check{*}_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{B}$.

Suppose there are unital completely positive maps $\theta_i : \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{B}$ and states $\varphi_i \in S(\mathcal{A}_i)$. In [5], Boca proves that there exists a ucp map $*_{i=1}^n \theta_i = \theta_1 * \cdots * \theta_n : \check{*}_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $*_{i=1}^n \theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i} = \theta_i$. This is defined on *reduced words* with respect to the φ_i. Namely, when $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i_j}$ with $\varphi_{i_j}(a_j) = 0$ and $i_j \neq i_{j-1}$ then

$$*_{i=1}^n \theta(a_1 \cdots a_m) = \theta_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots \theta_{i_m}(a_m).$$

This completely determines $*_{i=1}^n \theta$ as (reduced words + $\mathbb{C}1$) is dense in $\check{*}_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $V_1, \ldots, V_n \in B(\mathcal{K})$ are contractions and $\theta_i : C^*(1, V_i) \to C^*(1, T_i)$ such that $p(V_i) \mapsto p(T_i)$ are ucp maps (p a polynomial). If $\psi_i \in S(C^*(1, V_i))$ then the free product ucp map with respect to the ψ_i, $*_{i=1}^n \theta_i$, is a homomorphism on the subalgebra $\overline{\mathrm{Alg}}\{1, V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$ of $\check{*}_{i=1}^n C^*(V_i)$.*

Proof. The result can be established by induction. By definition each θ_i is already a homomorphism on $\overline{\mathrm{Alg}}\{1, V_i\} \subseteq C^*(V_i)$. Now for $m \geq 1$ assume that for all $1 \leq k \leq m$ and for any $b_j \in \overline{\mathrm{Alg}}\{1, V_{i_j}\}, 1 \leq j \leq k$ we have that $*_{i=1}^n \theta_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^k b_j \right) = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_{i_j}(b_j)$.

Suppose now we have $a_j \in \overline{\mathrm{Alg}}\{1, V_{i_j}\}, 1 \leq j \leq m+1$. If a pair of neighboring terms belongs to the same algebra, say $i_j = i_{j-1}$, by the inductive hypothesis and since θ_{i_j} is a homomorphism we have

$$\begin{aligned} *_{i=1}^n \theta_i(a_1 \cdots a_{j-1} a_j \cdots a_{m+1}) &= \theta_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots \theta_{i_j}(a_{j-1} a_j) \cdots \theta_{i_{m+1}}(a_{m+1}) \\ &= \theta_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots \theta_{i_{j-1}}(a_{j-1}) \theta_{i_j}(a_j) \cdots \theta_{i_{m+1}}(a_{m+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise assume that $i_{j-1} \neq i_j$ for $1 < j \leq m+1$ and then we have

$$\begin{aligned} *_{i=1}^n \theta_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} a_j \right) = \; & *_{i=1}^n \theta_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (a_j - \psi(a_j)) \right) + \\ & *_{i=1}^n \theta_i \left(\psi(a_1) \prod_{j=2}^{m+1} (a_j - \psi(a_j)) \right) + \\ & *_{i=1}^n \theta_i \left(a_1 \psi(a_2) \prod_{j=3}^{m+1} (a_j - \psi(a_j)) \right) + \cdots + \\ & *_{i=1}^n \theta_i \left(a_1 \cdots a_m \psi(a_{m+1}) \right) \\ = \; & \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} \theta_{i_j}(a_j - \psi(a_j)) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi(a_1)\prod_{j=2}^{m+1}\theta_{i_j}(a_j-\psi(a_j))+\\
&\theta_{i_1}(a_1)\psi(a_2)\prod_{j=3}^{m+1}\theta_{i_j}(a_j-\psi(a_j))\ +\cdots+\\
&\theta_{i_1}(a_1)\cdots\theta_{i_m}(a_m)\psi(a_{m+1})\\
=&\prod_{j=1}^{m+1}\theta_{i_j}(a_j)
\end{aligned}$$

by the definition of $*_{i=1}^n\theta_i$ and the inductive hypothesis.

The result follows as it is a simple matter now to show that $*_{i=1}^n\theta_i(ab) = *_{i=1}^n\theta_i(a)*_{i=1}^n\theta_i(b)$ for all $a,b\in\overline{\mathrm{Alg}}\{1,V_1,\ldots,V_n\}$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T_1,\ldots,T_n\in B(\mathcal{H})$ be freely independent contractions in the non-commutative probability space $(B(\mathcal{H}),\varphi)$. Then there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ and unitaries $U_1,\ldots,U_n\in B(\mathcal{K})$ that are freely independent with respect to $\varphi\circ\mathrm{ad}\,P_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that*

$$T_{i_1}^{k_1}\cdots T_{i_m}^{k_n}=P_{\mathcal{H}}U_{i_1}^{k_1}\cdots U_{i_m}^{k_n}|_{\mathcal{H}},\quad 1\le i_j\le n \text{ and } k_j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}.$$

Furthermore, this dilation is unique up to unitary equivalence when $\mathcal{K}$ is minimal.

Proof. For each $1\le i\le n$, let $V_i\in B(\mathcal{K}_i)$ with $\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{K}_i$ be the minimal unitary dilation of T_i and $\theta_i:C^*(V_i)\to C^*(1,T_i)$ given by $\theta_i=\mathrm{ad}\,P_{\mathcal{H}}$, a unital completely positive map. Thus, $\psi_i:=\varphi\circ\theta_i$ is a state on $C^*(V_i)$ such that $\psi_i(V_i^n)=\varphi(T_i^n),\forall n\ge 0$.

Consider now, the free product ucp map $*_{i=1}^n\theta_i:\ast_{i=1}^nC^*(V_i)\to C^*(1,T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ relative to the states ψ_i. Let $(\pi,\mathcal{K})$ be the Stinespring representation of $*_{i=1}^n\theta_i$ with $\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{K}$ which gives $*_{i=1}^n\theta_i(a)=P_{\mathcal{H}}\pi(a)|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Define unitaries $U_i:=\pi(V_i)$ and note that for $m\ge 1$ and $k_i\ge 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\mathcal{H}}U_{i_1}^{k_1}\cdots U_{i_m}^{k_m}|_{\mathcal{H}}&=P_{\mathcal{H}}\pi(V_{i_1}^{k_1}\cdots V_{i_m}^{k_m})|_{\mathcal{H}}\\
&=*_{i=1}^n\theta_i(V_{i_1}^{k_1}\cdots V_{i_m}^{k_m})\\
&=T_{i_1}^{k_1}\cdots T_{i_m}^{k_m}\qquad\qquad\text{by Lemma 3.1.}
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $a_j\in C^*(U_{i_j})$ with $\varphi\circ\mathrm{ad}\,P_{\mathcal{H}}(a_j)=0$ and $i_j\ne i_{j-1}$ we have that $\exists b_j\in C^*(V_{i_j})$ such that $\pi(b_j)=a_j$, $\theta_{i_j}(b_j)\in C^*(1,T_{i_j})$ and

$$0=\varphi\circ\mathrm{ad}\,P_{\mathcal{H}}(a_j)=\varphi\circ\mathrm{ad}\,P_{\mathcal{H}}\circ\pi(b_j)=\varphi(\theta_{i_j}(b_j)).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi\circ\mathrm{ad}\,P_{\mathcal{H}}(a_1\cdots a_m)&=\varphi\circ\mathrm{ad}\,P_{\mathcal{H}}\circ\pi(b_1\cdots b_m)\\
&=\varphi\circ *_{i=1}^n\theta_i(b_1\cdots b_m)\\
&=\varphi(\theta_{i_1}(b_1)\cdots\theta_{i_m}(b_m))\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $U_1,\ldots,U_n$ are $*$-free with respect to $\varphi\circ\mathrm{ad}\,P_{\mathcal{H}}$.

An argument to show that the minimal unitary dilation is unique is given in [5, Section 4] following from a classic remark of Durszt and Sz.-Nagy [12]. In particular, given two minimal unitary dilations $U_1,\ldots,U_n$ and $U_1',\ldots,U_n'$ on $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}'$ respectively, there is a unitary $\Theta:\mathcal{K}\to\mathcal{K}'$ fixing the subspace $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$\Theta U_i = U_i'\Theta$. From this it is easy to see that the states $\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ are equal by way of Θ since a state is completely determined by the values

$$\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}(U_i^k) = \varphi(T_i^k) = \varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}((U_i)')^k), \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

because $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ and $U_1', \ldots, U_n'$ are collections of $*$-free unitaries [19, Lemma 5.13]. □

Remark 3.3. It should be noted, by [29, Proposition 2.5.3], $\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a tracial state on $C^*(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ since $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ are $*$-free and $\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a trace on each $C^*(U_i)$ (the algebra is commutative).

One of the purposes of doing the previous arguments carefully is that we can now say what happens when the state φ is faithful.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a contraction and φ be a faithful state on $C^*(1, T)$. If $U \in B(\mathcal{K})$ with $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{K}$ is the minimal unitary dilation of T then $\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a faithful state on $C^*(U)$.*

Proof. Let $(\pi, \mathcal{K}', \xi)$ be the GNS representation of $(C^*(U), \varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}})$. Then $\pi(U)$ is still a unitary, $\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}(a) = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot \xi, \xi \rangle$ is a faithful state on $\pi(C^*(U)) = C^*(\pi(U))$.

Suppose a is a positive element in $\ker \pi$. Then $0 = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle = \varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}(a)$ which implies that $\operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}(a) = 0$ since compression to $\mathcal{H}$ is a completely positive map and φ is faithful. Since $\ker \pi$ is a C^*-algebra (so every element of $\ker \pi$ can be written as a linear combination of at most four positive elements from $\ker \pi$), we can conclude that $\ker \pi \subset \ker \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus there exists a well-defined ucp map $\theta : C^*(\pi(U)) \to C^*(1, T)$ given by sending $\pi(a) \mapsto P_{\mathcal{H}} a|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Notably, we have $\theta(\pi(U)^n) = T^n, n \geq 0$.

Let $(\tilde{\pi}, \mathcal{K}'')$ with $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{K}''$ be the minimal Stinespring representation of θ. That is, $\tilde{\pi} : C^*(\pi(U)) \to B(\mathcal{K}'')$ is a $*$-homomorphism (in fact a $*$-isomorphism) such that $\theta(a) = P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\pi}(a)|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{K}''$ is the closed linear span of $\tilde{\pi}(C^*(\pi(U)))\mathcal{H}$ by minimality. Define $V := \tilde{\pi}(\pi(U))$ a unitary and note that $P_{\mathcal{H}} V^n|_{\mathcal{H}} = \theta(\pi(U^n)) = T^n$. Thus, because of this and the minimality of the Stinespring representation we have that V is a minimal unitary dilation of T.

Consider now the state $\psi := \varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $C^*(V)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi \circ \tilde{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=-n}^{n} \alpha_i \pi(U)^n \right) &= \psi \left(\sum_{i=-n}^{n} \alpha_i V^n \right) \\
&= \varphi \left(\sum_{i=-n}^{n} \alpha_i T(n) \right) \\
&= \varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\sum_{i=-n}^{n} \alpha_i U^n \right) \\
&= \left\langle \left(\sum_{i=-n}^{n} \alpha_i \pi(U)^n \right) \xi, \xi \right\rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\psi \circ \tilde{\pi}(\cdot) = \langle \cdot \xi, \xi \rangle$ is a faithful state on $C^*(\pi(U))$ and so ψ is a faithful state on $C^*(V)$.

By minimality of the dilations there exists a unitary $W : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}''$ such that $Wh = h$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $WUW^* = V$. This implies that $\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $C^*(U)$

is equal to $\psi \circ \operatorname{ad} W$ which is faithful. Therefore, $\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ was a faithful state on $C^*(U)$ all along. □

One last ingredient before presenting Theorem 3.5 is the reduced free product of C*-probability spaces $(\mathcal{A}_i, \varphi_i)$, denoted $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ with $\mathcal{A} = *_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i$. As mentioned in the introduction this was introduced by both Avitzour [3] and Voiculescu [28] in the 1980's.

In correspondence with Boca's result on free products of ucp maps there is the reduced free product of ucp maps given by Choda-Blanchard-Dykema [9, 4] and the operator-valued conditionally free product of Młotkowski [18] based on the conditionally free product of Bożejko, Leinert and Speicher [6].

Now we can present the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be freely independent contractions in the non-commutative probability space $(B(\mathcal{H}), \varphi)$ with φ faithful. If $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in B(\mathcal{K})$ is the minimal free unitary dilation then $\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is faithful. In particular, when φ is faithful, the minimal free unitary dilation of $T_1, \ldots, T_n, \varphi$ arises from the reduced free product of their minimal unitary dilations.*

Proof. Because of the faithfulness of φ, $C^*(1, T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is in fact $*$-isomorphic to $*_{i=1}^n (C^*(1, T_i), \varphi)$ [13, Lemma 1.3]. Let $V_i \in B(\mathcal{K}_i)$ be the minimal unitary dilation of T_i and again let $\theta_i : C^*(V_i) \to C^*(1, T_i)$ be the ucp map given by $\theta_i(V_i^k) = T_i^k$. By Lemma 3.4 $\varphi \circ \theta_i$ is a faithful state.

As mentioned, Choda [9] showed that there is a reduced free product of ucp maps, though with a gap in the proof that was filled by Blanchard-Dykema [4]. Thus, there exists a ucp map

$$\theta : (*_{i=1}^n C^*(V_i), *_{i=1}^n \varphi \circ \theta_i) \to (*_{i=1}^n C^*(1, T_i), \varphi)$$

extending each of the θ_i. By [19, Theorem 7.9] $*_{i=1}^n \varphi \circ \theta_i = \varphi \circ \theta$ is a faithful state. Lemma 3.1 applies equally well in the reduced free product case to give that θ acts homomorphically on $\overline{\operatorname{Alg}}\{1, V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$.

As in Theorem 3.2, let $(\pi, \mathcal{K})$ be the minimal Stinespring dilation of θ and $U_i = \pi(V_i)$. Then, $U_1, \ldots, U_n, \varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the minimal free unitary dilation of $T_1, \ldots, T_n, \varphi$ with $\varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}$ faithful.

In fact, we can say a little more. Because $\varphi \circ \theta$ is faithful then π, the Stinespring representation of θ, has to be faithful, i.e. injective. Hence, again by [13, Lemma 1.3] $(C^*(U_1, \ldots, U_n), \varphi \circ \operatorname{ad} P_{\mathcal{H}}) \simeq *_{i=1}^n (C^*(V_i), \varphi \circ \theta_i)$. Therefore, the minimal free unitary dilation of $T_1, \ldots, T_n, \varphi$ when φ is faithful arises from the reduced free product of their minimal unitary dilations. □

References

[1] T. Andô, *On a pair of commutative contractions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **24** (1963), 88–90.

[2] W. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C*-algebras III*, Acta Math. **181** (1998), 159–228.

[3] D. Avitzour, *Free products of C*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **271** (1982), 423–435.

[4] E. Blanchard and K. Dykema, *Embeddings of reduced free products of operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **199** (2001), 1–19.

[5] F. Boca, *Free products of completely positive maps and spectral sets*, J. Funct. Anal. **97** (1991), 251–263.

[6] M. Bożejko, M. Leinert and R. Speicher, *Convolution and limit theorems for conditionally free random variables*, Pacific J. Math. **175** (1996), 357–388.

[7] S. Brehmer, *Über vetauschbare Kontraktionen des Hilbertschen Raumes (German)*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **22** (1961), 106–111.

[8] J. Bunce, *Models for n-tuples of non-commuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **57** (1984), 21–30.
[9] M. Choda, *Reduced free products of completely positive maps and entropy for free product of automorphisms*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **32** (1996), 371–382.
[10] K. Davidson, A. Fuller and E. Kakariadis *Semicrossed products of operator algebras by semigroups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. to appear.
[11] S. Drury, *A generalization of von Neumann's inequality to the complex ball*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **68** (1978), 300–304.
[12] E. Durszt and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Remark to a paper of A. E. Frazho: "Models for noncommuting operators,"* J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983), 146–147.
[13] K. Dykema and M. Rørdam, *Projections in free product C^*-algebras*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **8** (1998), 1–16; *Erratum*, idem., **10**(4) (2000), 975.
[14] A. Frazho, *Models for non-commuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **48** (1982), 1–11.
[15] A. Fuller, *Nonself-adjoint semicrossed products by abelian semigroups*, Canad. J. Math. **65** (2013), 768–782.
[16] F. Hiai and D. Petz, *The Semicircle Law, Free Random Variables and Entropy*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **77**, American Math. Soc., 2000.
[17] B. Li, *Normal extensions of representations of abelian semigroups*, preprint arXiv:1507.06698.
[18] W. Młotkowski, *Operator-valued version of conditionally free product*, Studia Math. **153** (2002), 13–30.
[19] A. Nica and R. Speicher, *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **335**, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
[20] S. Parrott, *Unitary dilations for commuting contractions*, Pacific J. Math. **34** (1970), 481–490.
[21] V. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **78**, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
[22] S. Popa and S. Vaes, *On the optimal paving over MASAs in von Neumann algebras*, to appear "Conf. Proc. Kadison's 90th" (2015).
[23] G. Popescu, *Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **316** (1989), no. 2, 523–536.
[24] J. Schäffer, *On unitary dilations of contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6**, (1955) 322.
[25] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Bemerkungen zur vorstehenden Arbeit des Herrn S. Brehmer (German)*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **22** (1961), 112–114.
[26] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici and L. Kérchy, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space, 2nd edition*, Universitext, Springer, 2010.
[27] N. Th. Varopoulos, *On an inequality of von Neumann and an application of the metric theory of tensor products to operators theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **16** (1974), 83–100.
[28] D. Voiculescu, Symmetries of some reduced free product C*-algebras, in *Operator algebras and their connection with topology and ergodic theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1132**, Springer, 1985, 556–588.
[29] D. Voiculescu; K. Dykema and A. Nica, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Series **1**, American Math. Soc., 1992.

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA
E-mail address: saa6uy@virginia.edu and cir6d@virginia.edu